"ONE POOR HUMAN LIFE NOT WORTH PERUSAL OF VOLUMINOUS PAPERS"

I have asked my counsel to state frankly whether in his judgment the Supreme Court (if the case were to come before it on habeas corpus) would be likely to reverse the judgment against me. He answered that the only ground on which the Supreme Court could be asked to set aside my conviction is that from the moment when at the opening from the moment when at the opening guilty no criminal ever went to executioned. of my second trial I offered proof that the District Attorney instead of protecting my constitutional rights as he was sworn to do that attorney instead of pro-

ous complaints I have to make against the manner of my prosecution must be addressed to a Governor who is the very person responsible for them as District Attorney, and that this has resulted in denying me equal protection of the laws with all other persons who have everbeen accused of murder in this State.

The detailed assignments of error addressed to the Supreme Court in my behalf are annexed.

Mr. Justice Hughes denied my application for a writ of error on the ground that all these matters and proceedings of which I complained were within the jurisdiction of the State courts, and therefore no Federal question could be disclosed by the record.

There remained a possibility that a writ of habeas corpus might lie to bring the whole proceedings before the Supreme Court of the United States, including the question whether your insistence on reviewing as Governor proceedings conducted against me by you as District Attorney is consistent with that equal protection of the laws to which I am entitled under the Constitution.

I have asked my counsel to state frankly whether in his judgment the frankly whether in the light of certain facts now disclosed for the first time to forbid my execution on the ground that my guilt of this mur-

tecting my constitutional rights as he was sworn to do had actually conspired to invade them by circulating false and scandalous statements to my injury all the subsequent proceedings as they are set out in the assignments of error were so utterly unfair that they constituted not an impartial trial to determine my guilt or innocence, but a conspiracy to bring about my conviction. And counsel advised me that he did not believe the Supreme Court would ever render a decision condemning so sweepingly and seriously the entire judicial processes of a State.

As this question, however, is entirely new he thought it possible that a writ might be issued out of the United States District Court, and perhaps even a stay of execution might be granted

States District Court, and perhaps even a stay of execution might be granted pending decision by the Supreme Court. But as the only effect of this would be to delay my death for a few months I have instructed him not to make the application.

Does Not Want Agony Prolonged.

If I am to die I am as ready now to meet my fate as I have any reason to think that I ever will be. I do not dered the suprementation of the su

street care and places of public record in the street of the control of the public care in the state of the control of the con

make about the signature Court and primage and the signature Court



leave it must be because he had some-thing discreditable to reveal. Now every-thing he could say has already been said and published. It is absolutely necessary to my position in the department that his statements be faced and disproved." This I was prepared to do. His charge that I was the owner of the chattel mortgage Rose stood ready to disprove, and beyond that there was nothing really worthy of notice in his gharges.

Might Reach Election Matters.

The Senator answered: "Where a fire of this kind is started there is no know. always been very close to me politically and personally, and once inquiries start they may reach into election matters. And secret investigations of elections by

The control will be a control with the control will be a control will



of conscious innocence, is held evidence of brazen guilt.

I need hardly point out to you that it was this preconception of my guilt which led both Mr. Justice Seabury and you to misapprehend the testimony given against me on the second trial so radicaly that it was grievously misstated both in your address and in the charge of the court to the jury. The most flagrant instances of these misstatements have been pointed out to the Court of Appeals in the brief submitted by my counsel on the motion for a reargument. It is there stated (and it has not been contradicted) that both the trial court and the Court of Appeals assumed the testimony in the second trial to be identical with that given on the first, whereas they were radically different, and it was on this misconception of the whole case that the Court of Appeals affirmed the judg-

"I can't advertise," said one manufacturer, "because my product is as like my competitor's as two peas in a pod."

"Isn't there a single difference?" we asked.

"Yes; but it's too trifling

MICHELIN TIRES

EFFECTIVE JULY 19TH

One Quality Only—The Best "AS USUAL"

> Get Reduced Prices from

NEAREST MICHELIN STOCKIST

Michelin-1763 Broadway

Telephone, Circle 441

